#### Introduction to NMR

# Lukáš Žídek



# Outline

- Nuclei vs. electrons
- NMR spectrometer and NMR experiment
- Chemical shift, dipolar and J-coupling
- Relaxation in NMR spectroscopy
- Signal processing in NMR spectroscopy
- Two- and multi-dimensional NMR spectroscopy
- Structure and dynamics of molecules from NMR data

What is not covered:

- NMR of quadrupolar nuclei
- Solid-state NMR
- Magnetic resonance imaging

# Nuclei vs. electrons

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

-

The same physics:

$$\vec{\mu} = \gamma \vec{I} = \frac{g}{2} \frac{Q}{m} \vec{I}$$
$$\vec{E} = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$$
$$\vec{\omega} = -\gamma \vec{B}$$

크

# Nuclei vs. electrons

#### Fundamental differences

- electron is a simple particle, well described by QED
  - g = 2.00231930436146(56) from 2008 measurement
  - g = 2.00231930436328(152) from QED calculations
- proton is a complex particle
  - g = 5.585694713(46) from experiment
  - g = ? from theory (QCD)

#### Technical differences

- $\gamma(e^-) \approx 658 imes \gamma(p^+)$ 
  - lower frequencies of nuclei
    - $\Rightarrow$  different hardware (radio waves vs. microwaves)
  - slower relaxation of nuclei (typically 10<sup>-2</sup>−10<sup>0</sup> s)
     ⇒ more time for pulsed experiments
  - Iower sensitivity

#### Chemical differences

- few unpaired electrons in typical compounds
- 10<sup>0</sup>-10<sup>4</sup> protons in organic/biochemical molecules

# NMR spectrometer NMR experiment

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

# Magnetic moments in molecules



	S	$rac{10^{-9}\gamma}{ m rads^{-1}T^{-1}}$	%
e <sup>-</sup>	1/2	-182.000	100
<sup>1</sup> H	1/2	0.277	99.98
<sup>13</sup> C	1/2	0.067	1.1
<sup>14</sup> N	1	0.019	99.6
<sup>15</sup> N	1/2	-0.027	0.4
<sup>17</sup> O	5/2	-0.036	0.04
<sup>19</sup> F	1/2	0.252	100
<sup>31</sup> P	1/2	0.108	100
<sup>129</sup> Xe	1/2	-0.075	24.4

quadrupolar (relax fast) rare isotopes (enrichment)

# NMR sample outside magnet



#### in equilibrium (spherical symmetry)

# NMR sample inside magnet



#### not in equilibrium (vertical force field)

# Relaxation via coupling with molecular rotation



nuclear B spin flow of induced electrons field

< 17 >

3 1 4 3

reproduced from M. H. Levitt: Spin Dynamics

크

# Polarization

Boltzmann distribution:  $P(\theta) \propto e^{-\frac{E}{k_{\rm B}T}} = e^{\frac{\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}}{k_{\rm B}T}} \Rightarrow M_z = \frac{N}{V} \frac{\mu^2 B}{3k_{\rm B}T}$ Precession (angular momentum in a field):  $\vec{\omega} = -\gamma B$ 



# Excitation



<ロト <回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

12/100

æ

# Excitation



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

<ロト <回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

13/100

æ

# Coherent evolution



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

14/100

크

# Signal detection



reproduced from M. H. Levitt: Spin Dynamics

# Non-equilibrium distribution of magnetic moments



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

# Relaxation via coupling with molecular rotation



nuclear B spin flow of induced electrons field

< 17 >

3.1

reproduced from M. H. Levitt: Spin Dynamics

크

# Return to equilibrium



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

# Signal decay



reproduced from M. H. Levitt: Spin Dynamics

3 1 4 3

Chemical shift Dipolar coupling J-coupling

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019





Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

23/100

크

< E



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

23/100

크

3 1 4 3



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

24/100

포 > 표



24/100

-



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019



$$\omega = -\gamma \underbrace{(1 - \sigma)}_{\text{shielding}} B_0$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\text{isotropic}} + \sigma_{\text{anisotropic}}$$

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

27/100

크



Molecular tumbling in solution  $\Rightarrow \langle \sigma_{anisotropic} \rangle = 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle = \sigma_{\text{isotropic}}$$

# **Chemical shifts**



## **Chemical shifts**



# Dipolar coupling



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

31/100

æ

물 에 제 문 어

# Dipolar coupling



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

32/100

æ

·문→ ★ 문→

# Dipolar coupling



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

33/100

æ

물 에 제 문 어


Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

34/100

æ

물 에 제 문 어

$$\nu(\theta) = \nu_0 + D(\theta)$$
$$D = -\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \mu_0 h}{8\pi^3 r_{12}^3} \left(\frac{3}{2}\cos^2\theta - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

In isotropic solution:

$$\left\langle rac{3}{2}\cos^2 heta - rac{1}{2}
ight
angle_{ heta} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle D 
angle_{ heta} = 0$$

35/100

크

★ E ► ★ E ►

A.



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

36/100

æ

문에 비용어



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

37/100

æ

물 에 제 문 어



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

38/100

æ

ヨト イヨト



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

39/100

æ

물 에 제 문 어

ð

$$\nu(\theta) = \nu_0 + D(\theta)$$
$$D = -\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \mu_0 h}{8\pi^3 r_{12}^3} \left(\frac{3}{2}\cos^2\theta - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

In isotropic solution:

$$\left\langle rac{3}{2}\cos^2 heta - rac{1}{2}
ight
angle_{ heta} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle D 
angle_{ heta} = 0$$

40/100

크

★ E ► ★ E ►

A.

#### Fermi contact interaction



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

41/100

크

-

#### Fermi contact interaction



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

42/100

$$E=-rac{2\mu_0}{3}\langleec{\mu}_{
m n}\cdotec{\mu}_{
m e}
angle\;|\psi( ext{inside nucleus})|^2$$

Does not depend on orientation (scalar product  $\vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{\mu}_e$ )

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019 43/100

## J-coupling



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

Image: A mathematical states and the states and

▶ < ≣ >

44/100

æ

## J-coupling



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

45/100

æ

Э

## J-coupling



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

46/100

æ

くヨ→

$$\nu = \nu_0 \pm J$$



・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

47/100

æ

## Relaxation

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

48/100

크

#### Relaxation is essential for NMR



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

크

### Relaxation limits NMR



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



reproduced from M. H. Levitt: Spin Dynamics

$$C(t) = \left\langle \frac{(3\cos^2\theta(t_0) - 1)(3\cos^2\theta(t_0 + t) - 1)}{4r^3(t_0)r^3(t_0 + t)} \right\rangle_{\text{all } t_0, \text{all molecules}} = \sum_i a_i e^{-t/\tau_i}$$

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

51/100

æ

3 1 4 3

#### Fluctuations of local fields



ť

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

52/100

 $\omega \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow \omega$ 

< 67 ►

#### **Relaxation rates**

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= 4\left(c^2 + d^2\right)\left(a_0\frac{\tau_0}{1 + (0\cdot\tau_0)^2} + a_1\frac{\tau_1}{1 + (0\cdot\tau_1)^2} + \cdots\right) \\ &+ 3\left(c^2 + d^2\right)\left(a_0\frac{\tau_0}{1 + (\omega_2\tau_0)^2} + a_1\frac{\tau_1}{1 + (\omega_2\tau_1)^2} + \cdots\right) \\ &+ 6d^2\left(a_0\frac{\tau_0}{1 + (\omega_1\tau_0)^2} + a_1\frac{\tau_1}{1 + (\omega_1\tau_1)^2} + \cdots\right) \\ &+ 6d^2\left(a_0\frac{\tau_0}{1 + ((\omega_1 + \omega_2)\tau_0)^2} + a_1\frac{\tau_1}{1 + ((\omega_1 + \omega_2)\tau_1)^2} + \cdots\right) \\ &+ d^2\left(a_0\frac{\tau_0}{1 + ((\omega_1 - \omega_2)\tau_0)^2} + a_1\frac{\tau_1}{1 + ((\omega_1 - \omega_2)\tau_1)^2} + \cdots\right) \end{aligned}$$

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

53/100

.

▶ < ≣ >

#### Small/flexible molecules relax slowly

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= 4 \left( c^2 + d^2 \right) \left( a_0 \frac{\tau_0}{1 + (0 \cdot \tau_0)^2} + a_1 \frac{\tau_1}{1 + (0 \cdot \tau_1)^2} + \cdots \right) \\ &+ 3 \left( c^2 + d^2 \right) \left( a_0 \frac{\tau_0}{1 + (\omega_2 \tau_0)^2} + a_1 \frac{\tau_1}{1 + (\omega_2 \tau_1)^2} + \cdots \right) \\ &+ 6d^2 \left( a_0 \frac{\tau_0}{1 + (\omega_1 \tau_0)^2} + a_1 \frac{\tau_1}{1 + (\omega_1 \tau_1)^2} + \cdots \right) \\ &+ 6d^2 \left( a_0 \frac{\tau_0}{1 + ((\omega_1 + \omega_2) \tau_0)^2} + a_1 \frac{\tau_1}{1 + ((\omega_1 + \omega_2) \tau_1)^2} + \cdots \right) \\ &+ d^2 \left( a_0 \frac{\tau_0}{1 + ((\omega_1 - \omega_2) \tau_0)^2} + a_1 \frac{\tau_1}{1 + ((\omega_1 - \omega_2) \tau_1)^2} + \cdots \right) \end{aligned}$$

small/flexible molecules  $\Rightarrow$  fast motions  $\Rightarrow$  short  $\tau$ 's  $\Rightarrow \omega_j \tau_i \ll 1$   $\Rightarrow \sum_i a_i \frac{\tau_i}{1 + (\omega_j \tau_i)^2} \rightarrow \sum_i a_i \tau_i = \overline{\tau}$  $\Rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow (7c^2 + 20d^2) \overline{\tau}$  (small)

• Image: A image:

54/100

#### Large rigid molecules relax rapidly

 $R_2$ 



 $\tau_0 = \frac{4\pi\eta}{3k_{\rm p}T}r^3$ 

$$= 4 \left( c^{2} + d^{2} \right) \left( a_{0} \tau_{0} + a_{1} \tau_{1} + \cdots \right)$$

$$+ 3 \left( c^{2} + d^{2} \right) \left( a_{0} \frac{\tau_{0}}{1 + (\omega_{2} \tau_{0})^{2}} + a_{1} \frac{\tau_{1}}{1 + (\omega_{2} \tau_{1})^{2}} + \cdots \right)$$

$$+ 6 d^{2} \left( a_{0} \frac{\tau_{0}}{1 + (\omega_{1} \tau_{0})^{2}} + a_{1} \frac{\tau_{1}}{1 + (\omega_{1} \tau_{1})^{2}} + \cdots \right)$$

$$+ 6 d^{2} \left( a_{0} \frac{\tau_{0}}{1 + ((\omega_{1} + \omega_{2}) \tau_{0})^{2}} + a_{1} \frac{\tau_{1}}{1 + ((\omega_{1} + \omega_{2}) \tau_{1})^{2}} + \cdots \right)$$

$$+ d^{2} \left( a_{0} \frac{\tau_{0}}{1 + ((\omega_{1} - \omega_{2}) \tau_{0})^{2}} + \cdots \right)$$

spherical rigid molecules  $\Rightarrow$  slow tumbling  $\Rightarrow$  long  $\tau_0 \gg \tau_{i\neq 0} \Rightarrow$   $\Rightarrow \sum_{i} a_i \frac{\tau_i}{1 + (\omega_{i\neq 0}\tau_i)^2} \rightarrow 0, \sum_{i} a_i\tau_i \approx a_0\tau_0$  $\Rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow 4 (c^2 + d^2) a_0\tau_0$  (large)

55/100

# Signal processing

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

56/100





57/100

#### Like in FM radio receiver:





Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

58/100

æ

#### Fourier transformation



59/100

Ξ.

(문) ( 문

Lukáš Žídek

8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019



Fourier transformation of ideal signal.

60/100

크

→



Fourier transformation of cosine.

61/100

크

< E



Fourier transformation of sine.

62/100

æ

< ∃⇒



Three Larmor frequencies.

63/100

크

### Phase is unknown



64/100

э.

문에 비원이

#### Acquisition is finite



Truncation artifact.

65/100

æ

< ∃⇒

### Signal is digitized



66/100

æ

물 에 제 문 어





67/100




#### NMR signal is an audio signal



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

#### NMR spectrometer



# 2D, 3D (nD) NMR experiments

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

72/100

3 1 4 3

### 2D NMR experiment



(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

73/100

æ

#### 2D correlation via nuclear Overhauser effect





(日)

#### Heteronuclear spin echoes



75/100

크

< 注 → < 注



76/100

A B F A B F



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

77/100

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)









### Direct excitation of <sup>13</sup>C or <sup>15</sup>N



<日</td>

82/100

Basic principle:



#### Real example:



#### Heteronuclear 2D correlation



#### Advantage of 2D vs. 1D experiment



### Example of a 5D experiment



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

87/100

## Structure and dynamics from NMR data

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

#### Information in the peak parameters



- Peak position (chemical shift)  $\longrightarrow$  (local) conformation
- Peak width —> dynamics (not affecting chemical shift)
- Peak area → quantity (for ideal signal)

#### Local conformation from chemical shift



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

#### Global fold from nuclear Overhauser effect



#### 2D NOESY spectra



92/100

æ

ъ

#### **3D NOESY-HSQC spectra**



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

93/100

æ

- 王

#### Assignment needed



94/100

æ

3 1 4 3

#### Assignment needed



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

95/100

æ

< 2> < 2>

#### Protein backbone assignment



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

96/100

#### Relaxation rates from special experiments





D.M. Korzhnev et al. / Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy 38 (2001) 197-266



Image: A matrix

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

97/100

#### Relaxation rates: motions not affecting chemical shift



$$J(\omega) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t'/\tau_{i}} \cos \omega t' dt' = \frac{\tau_{i}}{1 + (\omega \tau_{i})^{2}}$$

$$R_{2} = 4 (c^{2} + d^{2}) \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}}{1 + (0 \cdot \tau_{i})^{2}}$$

$$+ 3 (c^{2} + d^{2}) \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}}{1 + (\omega_{2} \tau_{i})^{2}}$$

$$+ 6d^{2} \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}}{1 + (\omega_{1} \tau_{i})^{2}}$$

$$+ 6d^{2} \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}}{1 + ((\omega_{1} + \omega_{2}) \tau_{i})^{2}}$$

$$+ d^{2} \sum_{i} a_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}}{1 + ((\omega_{1} - \omega_{2}) \tau_{i})^{2}}$$

Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

98/100

#### Chemical/conformational exchange



Lukáš Žídek 8th EFEPR School, Brno, Nov. 21, 2019

