#### EFEPR Summer School – Brno 2019



# A thumbnail sketch of some concepts in High Field EPR

**Graham Smith** (MM-Wave + EPR Group) University of St Andrews, Scotland











Janet Bela



4<sup>th</sup> EFEPR School





David David













#### 8<sup>th</sup> EFEPR School Brno



## Is High Field EPR Important?

#### **Teachers with High Field Spectrometers**

Thomas Prisner Marina Bennati Gunnar Jeschke

Daniella Goldfarb Alexander Schnegg

Edgar Groenen Patrick Carl Serge Gamberelli

(Richard Wylde, Jeffrey Hesler, Petr Neugbauser)

### Pioneers



#### Y.S Lebedev Klaus Mobius Jan Schmidt

## What is high frequency in EPR?

- Low Frequency:
  - Conventional Electromagnets
  - 0 -> 2 T or 0 -> 50 GHz

- High Frequency:
  - any system using a superconducting magnet
  - Usually 90 GHz -> 360 GHz -> 1 THz
    - or 3T -> 12T -> 30T
  - mm-wave and sub-mm-wave



## What is high field?

• A high enough field to resolve g-anisotropy



For chlorophyll radicals or most C-H radicals high field condition is not reached until 300 GHz!

Chlorophyll P700+ radical in Photosystem 1

J.Phys Chem B 1999 103 10973

# Very high cw fields



25T Bitter Magnet 10<sup>-5</sup> homogeneity

Good stability

Small power station as power supply!!

Huge cooling systems!

(stability issues + v. expensive)



Tallahassee 45T cw hybrid

34T Bitter magnet inside 11T superconductor

## Very very high frequency (using pulsed magnetic fields)



60T long pulse Magnet (2s)

Generates 1.4 GPa stresses (Los Alamos)







Destructive Magnets 3MA in single turn coil 0 -> 300T in 4µs (Tokyo) Literally single shot!

Only suitable for very strong signals

## Why has EPR not followed NMR? Why is high field not more routine?

#### Bruker E780



#### 12 T (263 GHz)



20T +

## 8 Core HF-EPR Advantages

- (1) Higher sensitivity (potential)
- (2) Better spectral resolution
- (3) Larger Instantaneous Bandwidth (AWG)
- (4) Better time resolution (fast  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ )
- (5) Larger energy scale (large D, E)
- (6) Higher Zeeman spin polarisation ( $\mu B > kT$ )
- (7) Dynamic Nuclear Polarisation
- (7) Rapidly Advancing Technology (it will get cheaper and better)









# (1) Higher Sensitivity



Spin Echo from 1  $\mu$ M TEMPO in Water/Glycerol @ 60K

(Setup for 3 pulse PELDOR, comparable sample volumes, comparable fractional excitations)

## Aim is 1000 times X-band sensitivity



# Where does this sensitivity come from? High Frequency, High Power, High Volume



Induction mode Sample holder

## Absolute vs Concentration

Absolute Sensitivity (numbers of spins) (Micro-Imaging, Single Xtal, Single Cell, Surfaces) But generally needs high spin concentration

Concentration Sensitivity (spins per unit volulme) (Biological samples – PELDOR, DNP, Defects) But generally needs high volume (lots of spins)

#### **General Experimental Rule**

Match the cavity/sample-holder to the size of sample



In NMR pulse sensitivity is a lot better than cw, as usually lines are narrow and one can usually excite all lines at once

In EPR CW sensitivity is often better, as lines are often very broad and one needs to reduce the Q of the cavity to increase excitation bandwidth – and we often still have partial excitation

This may change for some systems with AWG's

## EPR Sensitivity Scaling with Frequency



George Feher (1924-2017)

Absolute Sensitivity - scales as  $\omega^{7/2}$ Concentration Sensitivity - scales as  $\omega^{1/2}$ 

Similar scaling for pulse (Eatons)

# Assumes cavity size scales with wavelength

10 GHz

GHz

Wavelength at 10 GHz = 3.0 cm Wavelength at 300 GHz = 1 mm

Cavities at high frequencies become extremely small and sample handling becomes difficult

But absolute sensitivity can be high

### Key High Frequency Idea Non-resonant induction mode



Excite linear polarisation Detect orthogonal linear polarisation



3mm OD sample tube

## **Pulse Concentration Sensitivity**



At low frequencies often better to have high c and lower V At high frequencies can be better to maximise volume V

## 1000 times X-band sensitivity?

Better system noise figure + Better signal Processing + Higher power



x 2.5

(NF)

Modified sample holders

Larger Bandwidth + B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneity / compensation

(∆f)



5ns  $\pi/2$  to 2ns  $\pi/2$  pulses EPR Volume-> NMR volume

x 2 - 4 (c, V<sub>eff</sub>)

# (2) Higher resolution

- g-factor resolution
  - Fingerprinting, Symmetry
  - Nuclear Coupling
  - Orientation selection
  - Sensitivity to faster molecular motion

Nuclear resolution

- Determination of different coupled nuclei

## Fingerprintig



But be wary of g-strain

Be especially wary of D-strain

In transition metal momplexes / metalloproteins

## g-factor resolution

(finger printing, symmetry)



Field swept Nitroxide spectra 9.4 GHz and 180 GHz

> Acknowledgement Olav Schiemann

## **Orientation Selection**

(At 94GHz g-factor fully resolved in a nitroxide)







Selectively excite different orientations of the molecule Important for ENDOR / PELDOR

## **Orientation dependent PELDOR**



Bisnitroxide in o-terphenyl



Model System

## g-factor resolution



 $g_{xx}$  (and  $A_{ZZ}$  and  $P_{yy}$ ) component sensitive to hydrogen bonding

Mobius, Lubitz, Savitsky in Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy 75, (2013)



g-anisotropy

Fast motion can be averaged out at low frequencies (isotropic spectrum) but discernible at high fields

Acknowledgement ACERT (Jack Freed)

g-anisotropy fully resolved

# Hyperfine Methods

- ESEEM - optimised for  $\,\omega_{\text{N}}$  ~ A/2

Nitrogen ESEEM at W-band

- ENDOR
  - Davies (large hyperfine couplings)
  - MIMS (small hyperfine couplings)
- ELDOR detected NMR (most sensitive)

All benefit from higher resolution and/or sensitivity at high fields

- DNP all methods major interest at high fields for NMR
  - dissolution, solid-state, liquid state DNP

## Nuclear Zeeman resolution



$$v_{\text{ENDOR}} = |v_n \pm A/2|$$

Assuming:

S=1/2, g=2 No quadrupole

Mobius, Lubitz, Savitsky in Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy 75, (2013)

## (3) Instantaneous Bandwidth

- Higher bandwidth
- larger potential gains from AWG technology

Cavity Bandwidth  $\Delta f = f_0 / Q$ 



Non-respnant Limited by transit time In practice limited by amplifier (1 GHz)



### Benefits of bandwidth Gd(III) DEER at short distances









## Gd DEER Q-Band vs W-Band (HiPER)





In Collaboration with Anokhi Shah, Michael J. Taylor, Anbu S. Kooduthurai, Janet E. Lovett and Anna F. A. Peacock

## High Frequency AWG



12 GS/s(-90 dB SFDR)

## Wideband Pulses in PELDOR Low Spin Fe in Neuroglobin



>3 x improvement
in Signal to Noise
for Fe-N PELDOR

**Refocussed Echoes on Fe** 



Composite  $\pi$  pump pulse



## Wideband Pulses

#### Experimental



# π/2 π π





Low spin Fe in neuroglobin 3T broad spectrum

Simulation



## **Chirp Pulses**

Taken from Fardoozeh et al. J.Mag Res.302 (2019)



#### NOTE

# Limited gains at W-band on Nitroxides due to coupled to Nitrogens

$$\omega_{\rm N} \sim 2A \sim \omega_{\rm 1.}$$
 (~  $\omega_{\rm D}$ )



8 -16 -16 ns pulse sequence

Using Composite, Chirp or Rectangular Pulses

## Any Questions

### Aside Transmission Question

I have a perfectly collimated single frequency microwave source emitting 1 W being detected by a suitable detector and I place a perfect 0.1% transmitting mirror in the way, so only 0.1% (1mW) of the power is detected



What can be put in the box to ensure that 100% (1W) of the power reaches the detector? (in the ideal case)

# Answer - Another 0.1% transmitting mirror!

(you want  $T_1 = T_2$  for the special case of a lossless system)



Now 1kW builds up inside the cavity and 1W makes it to the detector without loss! (in the ideal case)

But what happens to the 999mW reflected from the first mirror?

## **EPR** reflection cavities



## Cavity deadtime

What happens if I suddenly switch the microwave source on or off?



## Power from sample?

#### Spin Echo Sequence



# (4) High Time Resolution (Low deadtime and fast risetimes)

Deadtime proportional to Q /f<sub>0</sub>



Transients bad for receivers

Takes time for power to build up cavity

# High time resolution possible (due to greater instantaneous bandwidth)



Very fast risetimes possible 116 ps pulse (200mW)

80ps risetime for longer pulses



At high power – limited by amplifier

## Ultra Fast Rapid Frequency Scan





# Frequency Sweeps of 10's of GHz

## **HiPER** low deadtime

#### QUASI-OPTICS Eliminates standing waves

High performance quasi-optical circulators (eliminates standing waves)



Induction mode non-resonant sample- holder

## Zero Deadtime measurements?



#### Zero Deadtime EPR with Long Pulse (taking into account B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneity)



T<sub>1</sub> =15 ns, T<sub>2</sub> = 10 ns, 200 ns long pulse

Measuring very short T<sub>1</sub>'s, T<sub>2</sub>'s and fast spectral diffusion

## **BDPA Single High Power Pulse**

Data: Solid line Fit: Dashed Line



 $T_2 \sim 120$ ns,  $T_1 \sim 300$ ns

(but distribution of values)

## 5) Larger energy scale

- Separation of field dependent terms in spin Hamiltionian
  - Large Zero Field splitting systems
  - Faster  $T_1$  in some systems,
  - Reduced ESEEM in many systems
  - Forbidden transitions become more forbidden

Large D, E

## Higher energy scales

(zero-field splitting)



At X-band, zero-field splitting energy similar to Zeeman Energy -> mixing of states = complex and difficult to interpret spectrum At W-band, zero-field splitting < Zeeman interaction -> petrurbation -> much easier to model

#### Multi-frequency, large field sweeps, broad field modulation

## Higher Energy Scale (Ferromagnetism)



Magnetisation and crystalline anisotropy can be > 1T

Multi-frequency, large field sweeps, broad field modulation

# Forbidden Transitions become more forbidden

ω<sub>n</sub> >> 2A

1.0 Vps75 R2H2 Vps75 R2D2 0.8 X-band Intensity (a.u) 0.6 0.4 **Deuterated** Protein 0.2 Protonated 0.0 t (us) 20 30 40 50 60 70 10 80 0 90 Tm data recorded at 50K

# Sensitivity increase due to reduction of second order effects



 $Mn^{2+}$  EDTA in  $H_20$ 

In zero-field splitting problems second order effects reduce at high fields sharpening lines (Forbidden transitions become more forbidden)

# (6) Higher Zeeman spin polarisation (μB > kT)

- Changing energy level populations, fully polarized systems
- Eliminating flip-flop relaxation
- Dissolution DNP



Electrons ~ fully polarised at 16T at 4 K

Protons ~ 0.2%

## Higher Energy Scale and $\mu B > kT$

Molecular magnets

 $Cr_{12}O_9(OH)_3(O_2CCMe_3)_{15}$ S = 6 D = 0.088cm<sup>-1</sup> + D-strain



Powder Spectra at 180 GHz

# Increasing T<sub>2</sub> relaxation time





In some systems relaxation time is dominated by electron flip-flop transitions which are eliminated in polarized systems at low temp and high B<sub>0</sub>

S. Takahashi et al., Phys Rev Lett., **101**, 047601 (2008)

# (7) Dynamic Nuclear Polarisatiion

#### 10,000 fold improvement in NMR sensitivity



Jan H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, PNAS, 100(18): 10158–10163 (2003)

## Solid State DNP System



# High Field DNP Challenges

- Faster Polarisation with larger volumes at higher magnetic fields
- High Power High Frequency Amplifiers
- Pulse Techniques. NOVEL  $\omega_n \sim \omega_1$



5 kW Amplifier (10% BW)



Few watts CW Oscillator

## (8) Rapid Technology Advances



# Faster ADC's and digital oscilloscopes



Compact cryofree magnets



#### Faster AWG's





Broadband high power amplifiers

## Revolution in MM-Wave Integrated Circuits



MM-Wave Communications on a Chip



2 2.5mm





MM-Wave Radar on a Chip

## Radar / Comms /Spectrometer on a chip



5G Comms

Chip Sensor





**Radar Sensors** 

## **Quasi-optics**









At high frequencies better to have mixture of optics and microwaves.

### Select High Field Reviews / Collections

*Biological Magnetic Resonance, Very High Frequency (VHF) ESR/EPR*, vol. 22. New York: Kluwer Acadamic, 2004.

G. R. Eaton and S. S. Eaton, "High Field and High Frequency EPR," *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, vol. 16, pp. 161-166, 1999. (And articles following)

J. H. Freed, "New Technolgies in Electron Spin Resonance," *Annual Review Phys. Chem.*, vol. 51, pp. 655-689, 2000.

T. Prisner, "Pulsed High Frequency/High Field EPR," Adv. Magn. Opt., vol. 20, pp. 245-300, 1997.

T. Prisner and W. Kockenberger, "Dynamic Nuclear Polarization:New Experimental and Methodology Approaches in Physics, Chemistry, Biology and Medicine," *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, vol. 34, pp. 213-218, 2008. (Articles following)

T. Prisner, M. Rohrer, and F. MacMillan, "Pulsed EPR Spectroscopy: Biological Applications," *Annual Review Phys. Chem.*, vol. 52, pp. 279, 2001.

A.Schweiger and G. Jeschke, *Principles of pulse electron paramagnetic resonance*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001.

K. Mobius, A.Savitsky, High-Field EPR Spectroscopy on Proteins and their Model Systems, RSC, Cambridge, 2009

G. M. Smith, P. Cruickshank, D. R. Bolton, and D. A. Robertson, "High-field pulse EPR instrumentation," in *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance*, vol. 21, B. C. Gilbert, M. J. Davies, and D. M. Murphy, Eds. Cambridge: Specialist Periodical Reports, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2008, pp. 216-233.

A. Savitsky, K.Mobius, High Field EPR, Photosynth Res. 102(2-3), 311-33, 2009, .

## St Andrews MM-Wave Group



#### Autonomous Boats

Radar subsysten

Stand-off Security Imaging







#### Volcano Imaging







**Drone Detection** 





02

## If you've enjoyed the school...

- Tell Peter! Write to Peter!
- Tell your supervisor
- Remember when you are a supervisor to send your own students!

– Eventually -Volunteer to organise a school!!