EPR with EasySpin

EasySpin website: EasySpin user forum: EasySpin documentation: easyspin.org easyspin.org/forum easyspin.org/documentation

This slidedeck is based on EasySpin 6.0.0-dev*. Some features might change in the final EasySpin 6.0.0.

This slidedeck only shows the basic features of EasySpin. For a complete overview, see the online documentation.

EasySpin functions are <u>underlined</u>.





8th EFEPR Summer School Advanced EPR Spectroscopy Brno, 18-25 November 2019



What is EasySpin?



Software for EPR spectroscopy

- 1. explore fundamentals
- 2. plan experiments (simulations)
- 3. analyze data (fitting)





EasySpin runs on MATLAB

📣 MA	FLAB R2019	b - aca	demic use	9										_	
ном	E PLC	DTS	APPS	EDITOR	PI	UBLISH	VIEW		7 E	1190	2 🔁 ? 오	Search D	ocumentation	٩ ٩	🌲 🛛 Stefan 🔻
New Script	New Live Script	New FILE	Open	Find Files	Import Data	Save Workspace	RIABLE	v Variable n Variabl ar Worksp	e▼ ace ▼	Favorites	Analyze Co	ode me mands ▼		RESOURCE	s
	🛃 🛃 🔊	12*	C: ► Use	ers ▶ abc ▶	Documen	its 🕨 Work	 easyspi 	n-dev ▶	tests	>					•
Unt	itled13*	+						•	fx						U
1															



Spin operators, spin states

Vectors representing spin states (Zeeman basis)

```
a = [1; 0]
b = [0; 1]
ab = kron([1;0],[0;1])
c = [1;1]/sqrt(2)
v = [0;1;0]
```

```
% \alpha (spin-up) state for spin-1/2
% \beta (spin-down) state for spin-1/2
% \alpha\beta state for S1=S2=1/2 system
% superposition state for spin-1/2 (+x)
% 0> state for S=1
```

Matrices representing spin operators

 $Sx = [0 \ 1/2; \ 1/2 \ 0]$ Sx = sop(1/2, 'x')Sp = sop(1/2, '+')[Sx,Sy,Sz] = sop(1,'x','y','z') % Sx,Sy,Sz for spin-1 SxIp = sop([1/2 1], 'x+')

% Sx operator for spin-1/2 % same using EasySpin % S+ operator for spin-1/2

```
% SxI+ for S=1/2 I=1 system
```

Matrices representing spin states (density matrices)

```
sigma = a*a'
sigma = [0 1; 0 0]
sigma = sop(1/2, '+')
sigma = c*c'
```

- % density matrix corresponding to α state
- % density = S+
 - % same using EasySpin
 - % superposition state



Spin Hamiltonians

Electron Zeeman term

B = [0; 0; 0.35]; [Sx,Sy,Sz] = sop([1/2 1/2],'xe','ye','ze'); Hzee = bmagn*2*(B(1)*Sx+B(2)*Sy+B(3)*Sz); Hzee = Hzee/planck/1e6

```
% field vector, T
% spin matrices
% Zeeman Hamiltonian, J
% same, MHz
```

Isotropic hyperfine term

A = 100; % hyperfine coupling constant, MHz
[SxIx,SyIy,SzIz] = sop([1/2 1/2],'xx','yy','zz'); % spin operator matrices
Hhf = A*(SxIx+SyIy+SzIz) % hyperfine Hamiltonian, MHz
H = Hzee + Hhf % sum, MHz

Using EasySpin

Sys.S = 1/2; Sys.g = 2; Sys.Nucs = '1H'; Sys.A = 100; H = sham(Sys,B*1000)

- % define spin quantum number
- % define g tensor via its principal values
- % add proton
- % isotropic hyperfine, MHz
- % complete spin Hamiltonian matrix, MHz



Eigenvalues, eigenstates, energy level diagram

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

E = eig(H) % gives list of eigenvalues
[V,E] = eig(H) % eigenvectors and eigenvalues

Energy level diagram (by hand)

Energy level diagram (using EasySpin)

levelsplot(Sys,'z',[0 600],9.5); % range in mT, frequency in GHz



Question!

Why is there no transition between the lowest and the highest level?



- 1. photon is destroyed (absorption) or created (emission)
- 2. photon has one unit of angular momentum
- 3. overall angular momentum is conserved

 $|\Delta m_S| = 1$ intense absorption (allowed)

 $|\Delta m_S| = 2$ weak/no absorption (forbidden / disallowed)



g anisotropy

Simulating a spectrum with isotropic g

Axial g tensor

```
Sys.g = [2 2.3];  % equivalent to [2 2 2.3]
pepper(Sys,Exp);
```

Rhombic g tensor

Sys.g = [2 2.04 2.3];
pepper(Sys,Exp);

Why are g values in paramagnetic molecules shifted from 2.0023?

nitroxides	up to 2.009
copper(II)	up to 2.4
manganese(II)	1.98 (ca)
iron(III) low-spin	1.8 – 2.1
titanium(III)	1.9x

(disregarding effective g values of multiple unpaired electrons)

spin-orbit coupling

- 1. field induces electron current
- 2. electron circles around nucleus
- 3. electron feels field due to nucleus \rightarrow g shift

<50% filled valence shell: g < 2.002 >50% filled valence shell: g > 2.002





Hyperfine coupling

A single isotope: ⁶³Cu

```
Cu.g = [2.05 2.05 2.35];
Cu.Nucs = '63Cu'; % single isotope
Cu.lwpp = 1; % mT
Cu.A = 130; % isotropic (unphysical), MHz
Cu.A = [50 300]; % axial, MHz
Cu.A = [50 60 300]; % rhombic, MHz
```

```
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.Range = [260 350]; % mT
pepper(Cu,Exp);
```

Hyperfine transitions

```
Opt.Output = 'separate';
pepper(Cu,Exp,Opt);
```

Natural abundance: ⁶³Cu and ⁶⁵Cu

```
Cu.Nucs = 'Cu'; % natural abundance, automatic conversion of hfc
pepper(Cu,Exp);
larmorfrq('63Cu,65Cu',350) % gives Larmor frequency, MHz
```



Line broadenings

Simple anisotropic system

```
Sys.S = 1/2;
Sys.g = [2 2.1 2.2];
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.Range = [280 360]; % mT
```

Convolutional broadening

```
Sys.lwpp = 2; % mT peak-to-peak, Gaussian only
Sys.lwpp = [0 1]; % mT, Lorentzian only
Sys.lwpp = [2 1]; % mT, Gaussian+Lorentzian = Voigtian
pepper(Sys,Exp);
```

Anisotropic broadening (e.g. unresolved hyperfine)

Sys.HStrain = [60 120 240]; % MHz, fully rhombic pepper(Sys,Exp);



What are possible reasons for broadening in EPR spectra?

1. static:

distribution of orientations (powder, frozen solution) distribution of molecular geometries \rightarrow distribution of *g*, *A*, etc (strains) unresolved couplings (hyperfine, electron-electron)

2. <u>dynamic</u>: librations, rotations, collisions, etc.

3. <u>instrumental</u>: power broadening, overmodulation, etc.

Line broadenings: g and A strain

g strain

```
Sys.g = [2 2.1 2.2];
Sys.gStrain = [0.03 0.01 0.07]; % Gaussian FWHM
```

Exp.mwFreq = 95; % GHz Exp.CenterSweep = [3250 800]; % mT

```
pepper(Sys,Exp);
```

g and A strain

```
Cu.g = [2.0 2.25];
Cu.Nucs = '63Cu';
Cu.A = [50 300]; % MHz
Cu.gStrain = 0.01; % isotropic
Cu.AStrain = 10; % isotropic, MHz
Cu.gAStrainCorr = +1; % positive correlation
Exp.Range = [280 350]; % mT
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
pepper(Cu,Exp);
```



Coupled spins: exchange, Pake patterns

Two coupled spins

Powder spectrum

Exp.Field = 350; Exp.mwRange = [9.7 10]; pepper(Two,Exp);

- % mT
- % GHz
- % frequency sweep



Rigid limit, slow-motion regime, fast limit

Nitroxide

```
Nx.g = [2.008 2.006 2.002];
Nx.Nucs = '14N';
Nx.A = [20 20 85];  % MHz
Nx.lwpp = 0.2;  % mT
```

Different functions for different motional regimes

```
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.Range = [334 344]; % mT
pepper(Nx,Exp); % rigid limit (matrix diagonalization)
Nx.tcorr = 2e-9; % rotational correlation time, seconds
chili(Nx,Exp); % rotational correlation time, seconds
% slow motion (Stoch. Liouville equation)
Nx.tcorr = 0.1e-9;
[B,spcc] = chili(Nx,Exp); % fast motion (stoch. Liouville eq.)
[B,spcg] = garlic(Nx,Exp); % fast motion (Redfield theory)
plot(B,spcc,B,spcg);
```



The spices of EasySpin

CW EPR

solid state

<u>pepper</u>

liquid state <u>chili</u> (slow-motion, simple Brownian diffusion model) <u>garlic</u> (fast-motion)

<u>cardamom</u> (using molecular dynamics trajectories)

OTHER EXPERIMENTS

ENDOR	<u>salt</u>
pulse EPR	<u>saffron</u>
magnetometry	<u>curry</u>



Nitroxide spectrum, multi-frequency

X-band

```
Nx.g = [2.008 2.006 2.002];
Nx.Nucs = '14N';
Nx.A = [20 20 85]; % MHz
Nx.lwpp = 0.02; % mT
```

```
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.CenterSweep = [340 12]; % mT
Exp.Harmonic = 0;
Opt.Output = 'separate';
pepper(Nx,Exp,Opt);
```

Q-band

```
Exp.mwFreq = 35; % GHz
Exp.CenterSweep = [1248 12]; % mT
pepper(Nx,Exp,Opt);
```

W-band

```
Exp.mwFreq = 95; % GHz
Exp.CenterSweep = [3385 22]; % mT
pepper(Nx,Exp,Opt);
```



Resonator mode

Basic parameters

nu0 = 9.5;	% resonator frequency, GHz
Qu = 1000;	% resonator Q-factor (unloaded)
beta = 1; beta = 0.1; beta = 3;	<pre>% coupling coefficient (critical coupling, matched) % (undercoupled) % (overcoupled)</pre>

Calculating the dip

nu =	= linspace(9,10,1001);
G =	<pre>resonatorfunc(nu,nu0,Qu,beta);</pre>

Plotting the tuning picture

plot(nu,abs(G));
ylim([0 1]);

```
P_refl = abs(G).^2;
plot(nu,P_refl);
plot(nu,10*log10(P_refl));
```

```
% GHz
% voltage reflection coefficient
```

```
% voltage refl. coeff., linear scale
% full range
```

```
% power refl. coeff.
```

```
% linear scale
```

% logarithmic dB scale



Question!

What are the benefits and drawbacks of using resonators?

Benefits

- 1. keep E1 microwave field away from sample (no losses)
- 2. increase B1 microwave field at sample (high conversion efficiency)

Drawback

1. limited bandwidth

Required bandwidth

- pulse EPR: large (at least 100 MHz)
- CW EPR: not much (100 kHz modulation)
- rapid-scan EPR: in between



Field modulation, harmonics, overmodulation

Spin system and experiment

```
Nx.g = [2.008 2.006 2.002];
Nx.Nucs = '14N';
Nx.A = [20 20 85]; % MHz
Nx.lwpp = 0.3; % mT
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.Range = [333 345]; % mT
```

Harmonic and modulation amplitude

```
Exp.Harmonic = 0; % no field modulation
pepper(Nx,Exp);
Exp.Harmonic = 1; % first harmonic
pepper(Nx,Exp);
Exp.ModAmp = 0.5; % peak-to-peak modulation amplitude, mT
pepper(Nx,Exp);
```



Absorption and dispersion

Spin system and experiment

Sys.g = 2; Sys.lwpp = 0.3; % MHz Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.Range = [337 342]; % mT
Exp.Harmonic = 0; % no field modulation

Different microwave phasing

```
Exp.mwPhase = 0;
[B,spc1] = pepper(Sys,Exp);
Exp.mwPhase = pi/2;
[B,spc2] = pepper(Sys,Exp);
Exp.mwPhase = 15*pi/180;
[B,spc3] = pepper(Sys,Exp);
```

```
plot(B,spc1,B,spc2,B,spc3);
```

- % pure absorption
- % pure dispersion
- % absorption + small dispersion admixture



Field vs. frequency sweep

Nitroxide radical

Nx.g = [2.008 2.006 2.002]; Nx.Nucs = '14N'; Nx.A = [20 20 85]; % MHz

Field and frequency sweep at X-band

```
Nx.lwpp = 0.4; % mT
Exp1.mwFreq = 9.7; % GHz
Exp1.Range = [340 350]; % mT
Exp1.Harmonic = 0;
[B,spc1] = pepper(Nx,Exp1); % field sweep
Nx.lwpp = 0.4*28; % MHz
Exp2.Field = 340; % mT
Exp2.mwRange = [9.4 9.7]; % GHz
[nu,spc2] = pepper(Nx,Exp2); % frequency sweep
```

Plotting

```
subplot(2,1,1); plot(B,spc1);
subplot(2,1,2); plot(nu,spc2);
```

Break

Filters: RC filter, Savitzky-Golay

Spectral line with noise

```
B = linspace(300,400,1001);
B0 = 340;
FWHM = 10;
spc = <u>gaussian(B,B0,FWHM,1);</u>
spc = <u>addnoise(spc,50,'n');</u>
```

```
% mT
% mT, line center
% mT, full width at half maximum
% first derivative Gaussian
% SNR = 50
```

RC filter (don't use it!)

spcRC = rcfilt(spc,1,20); % conversion time, time constant

Savitzky-Golay filter (much better!)

spcSG = <u>datasmooth(spc,20);</u> % length of smoothing window

Comparative plot

plot(B,spc,B,spcRC,B,spcSG); legend('data','RC','S-G');



Crystals: frames and space groups

Simple spin system and powder spectrum

```
P1.g = 2.0024; % substitutional nitrogen center in diamond
P1.Nucs = '14N';
P1.A = [81 114]; % MHz
P1.lwpp = 0.05; % mT
```

Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz Exp.CenterSweep = [339 10]; % mT

pepper(P1,Exp);

Single-crystal spectrum

```
ma = 54.736;
Exp.MolFrame = [45 ma 0]*pi/180;
Exp.CrystalOrientation = [0 ma 0]*pi/180;
Exp.CrystalSymmetry = 'Fd-3m';
```

pepper(P1,Exp);

```
Exp.SampleRotation = 10*pi/180;
pepper(P1,Exp);
```

% magic angle (deg) % mol. frame ori. in crystal % crystal ori. in spectrometer % space group of diamond (#227)

```
% rotate sample
```



Small zero-field splitting

Organic triplet

Triplet.S = 1; Triplet.D = 1000; % MHz Triplet.lwpp = 2; % mT Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz Exp.Range = [0 600]; % mT

Energy level diagram

pepper(Triplet,Exp);

```
levelsplot(Triplet,'z',[0 600],9.5);
```

```
theta = 30*pi/180; % radians
phi = 20*pi/180; % radians
levelsplot(Triplet,[phi theta],[0 600],9.5);
```



Large zero-field splitting

High-spin Fe³⁺

Fe.S = 5/2; Fe.g = 2; Fe.D = 300e3; % MHz Fe.lwpp = 2; % mT Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz Exp.Range = [0 600]; % mT Exp.Harmonic = 0;

```
pepper(Fe,Exp);
```

Energy level diagram

levelsplot(Fe,'xz',Exp.Range,Exp.mwFreq);



Question!

What are the physical origins of zero-field splitting?

- 1. Dipolar coupling between electron spins
- 2. Spin-orbit interactions

Organic radicals: spin-spin coupling dominates Transition metals: both terms are important



D strain; temperature dependence

Gadolinium(III)

Gd.S = 7/2; Gd.D = 1000; % MHz Gd.lwpp = 10; % mT

D strain

Gd.DStrain = 0; % no D strain
Gd.DStrain = 300; % some D strain

```
Wband.mwFreq = 95; % GHz
Wband.Range = [3100 3700]; % mT
pepper(Gd,Wband);
```

High field, low temperature

Wband.Temperature = 4; % temperature, in K
pepper(Gd,Wband); % reveals sign of D



Interfacing with ORCA

ORCA input file: OH.oif

```
! UKS B3LYP 6-31G
*xyz 0 2
0 0 0 0
H 0 0 0.98
*
%eprnmr
gtensor 1
Nuclei = all H {aiso, adip, aorb}
end
```

Running the ORCA calculation

user@linux:~\$ orca OH.oif > OH.oof

gives OH.oof, OH.xyz, OH.prop

EasySpin simulation

```
OH = orca2easyspin('OH.prop');
OH.lwpp = 1;  % mT
Wband.mwFreq = 95; % GHz
pepper(OH,Wband);
```



ENDOR

Weak coupling regime

```
Sys.S = 1/2;
Sys.Nucs = '1H';
Sys.A = [5 10];  % MHz
Sys.lwEndor = 0.2;  % MHz
Exp.Field = 1200;  % mT
Exp.Range = [40 60];  % MHz
salt(Sys,Exp);
```

Strong coupling regime

Sys.Nucs = '15N'; Sys.A = [30 40]; % MHz Exp.Range = [0 50]; % MHz

salt(Sys,Exp);

one peak for each electron m_S manifold



Question!

How many ENDOR peaks to you expect for a ¹H coupled to S = 1





Orientation selection

Anisotropic spectrum

```
Sys.g = [2 2.06 2.2];
Sys.HStrain = 20; % MHz
Exp.Field = 320; % fixed field, mT
subplot(1,2,1);
pepper(Sys,Exp);
mw = 9.4; % GHz
xline(mw,'r');
```

Orientation selection

```
Exp.mwFreq = mw;
Exp.ExciteWidth = 100; % MHz, FWHM
subplot(1,2,2);
orisel(Sys,Exp);
```



Pulse shapes and excitation profiles

Pulse shapes

```
par.Type = 'rectangular/none';
par.tp = 0.2;
par.Flip = pi;
```

pulse(par);

```
par.Type = 'sech/tanh';
par.Frequency = [-30 30];
par.beta = 5;
```

% shape: rectangular AM, no FM % pulse length, microseconds % flip angle, radians

> % shape: hyperbolic secant/tangent % frequency range (up-sweep), MHz % truncation parameter

```
[t,IQshape] = pulse(par);
plot(t,real(IQshape),t,imag(IQshape));
```

Excitation profile

exciteprofile(t,IQshape)



How do these two pulse sequences differ?



Second one has more uniform bandwidth than first.



2-pulse and 3-pulse ESEEM

Proton ESEEM

Sys.Nucs = '1H';		
Sys.A = [-4 8];	% MHz	
Sys.T1 = 50;	% μs	
Sys.T2 = 4;	% µs	
Exp.Field = 350;	% mT	
Exp.Sequence = '2pES	SEEM';	% '2pESEEM', '3pESEEM'
Exp.tau = 0.010;	% μs;	blindspots for 3pESEEM
Exp.dt = 0.010;	% μs	

Opt.nKnots = 181; % number of orientations between z axis and xy plane

saffron(Sys,Exp,Opt);

Nitrogen ESEEM

```
Sys.Nucs = '14N';
Sys.A = 2.2;  % MHz
Sys.Q = 2;  % e<sup>2</sup>Qq/h, MHz
Exp.dt = 0.040;  % µs
saffron(Sys,Exp,Opt);
```



HYSCORE

Weak coupling regime

```
Sys.Nucs = '1H';
Sys.A = [-5 -5 10]; % MHz
Exp.Field = 350; % mT
Exp.Sequence = 'HYSCORE';
Exp.tau = 0.0010; % tau, µs; blindspots!
Exp.dt = 0.020; % time increment, µs
saffron(Sys,Exp);
```

Strong coupling regime

Sys.Nucs = '14N'; Sys.A = [8 10]; % MHz Exp.dt = 0.040; % µs saffron(Sys,Exp);

Quadrupole coupling

Sys.Q = 0.2; % e²Qq/h, MHz saffron(Sys,Exp);



Fitting

Interactive fitting

```
[B,spc] = eprload('FeS_1.DTA');
plot(B,spc);
Exp.mwFreq = 9.5; % GHz
Exp.Range = [300 380]; % mT
Fe.g = [1.9 2.0 2.1];
Fe.gStrain = [1 1 1]*0.03;
```

```
Vary.g = [1 1 1]*0.04;
Vary.gStrain = [1 1 1]*0.25;
```

```
esfit(@pepper,spc,Fe,Vary,Exp);
```



Four-pulse ESEEM

```
Sys.Nucs = '1H';

Sys.A = [2 8]; % MHz

Sys.Q = 0.2; % e<sup>2</sup>Qq/h, MHz

Exp.Field = 350; % mT

Exp.Flip = [1 1 2 1]; % multiples of 90deg: 90-90-180-90

Exp.Inc = [0 1 1 0]; % incrementation scheme (0 = fixed)

Exp.dt = 0.010; % μs

Exp.t = [1 0 0 1]*0.080; % μs
```

```
saffron(Sys,Exp);
```

Simulation noise: incomplete averaging

Opt.nKnots = 271; % number of orientations between z axis and xy plane
saffron(Sys,Exp,Opt);

Final slide



